

Functions with support in a lacunary system of intervals and cyclicity for the semi-group of left translations

Réda Choukrallah

Abstract

We prove in the vector-valued spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ (where X is a finite dimensional Hilbert space) the cyclicity for the semi-group of left translations of some particular functions with support included in a lacunary system of intervals.

Introduction

Let $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the space of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|f\|_2 = \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

and let $(S_t^*)_{t>0}$ be the semi-group of left translations where

$$S_t^* f(x) = f(x+t) \quad x \geq 0, \quad t > 0.$$

If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we define $E_f := \text{span}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}(S_t^* f : t > 0)$, and we say that f is cyclic if $E_f = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

We introduce the following definitions for the class of functions we will work with.

Definition Let X be a Hilbert space. A sequence $(a_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of elements in X is said completely relatively compact (c.r.c.) if for any orthogonal projection $P : X \rightarrow X$ and $\eta = \{k \geq 0 : P a_k \neq 0\}$, the sequence $\left(\frac{P a_k}{\|P a_k\|}\right)_{k \in \eta}$ is relatively compact in X .

Definition A lacunary system of intervals $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty [a_k, b_k]$ is a countable union of intervals $[a_k, b_k]$ verifying the following statements:

- (1) $a_k < b_k < a_{k+1} \quad \forall k \geq 1$;
- (2) $\sup_{k \geq 1} \frac{b_k}{a_{k+1}} < 1$.

The main result in this paper is Theorem 1.3 which states that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ whose support $\text{supp}(f)$ is included in a lacunary system of intervals $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty [a_k, b_k]$ is cyclic in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ under both conditions

(1) $c = \sup_{k \geq 1} |b_k - a_k| < \infty$;

(2) the sequence $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ where $f_k(t) = f(t + a_k)$, $0 \leq t \leq c$ is c.r.c. in $L^2(0, c)$.

We also generalize this result to the case of vector-valued functions and Theorem 2.2 gives a criterion of cyclicity for functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ when statements (1), (2) hold.

1 The scalar case $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$

We first need some well known results in the theory of invariant subspaces and in particular the property of unicellularity for Volterra operator (for completeness see [5]).

Definition 1.1 *Let X be a Banach space and let T be a bounded operator on X . The operator T is said unicellular if $\text{Lat } T$ is totally ordered.*

A Volterra-type integral operator on $L^2(0, 1)$ is an operator K of the form

$$(Kf)(x) = \int_0^x k(x, y)f(y) dy,$$

where k is a square-integrable function on the unit square. The Volterra operator is the particular Volterra-type operator obtained when k is the constant function 1. For any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ let

$$M_\alpha = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) : f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } [0, \alpha]\}.$$

It is clear that $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\} \subset \text{Lat } K$ for any Volterra-type integral operator.

The following theorem plays an important role in the construction of our result (see [5, p. 68]).

Theorem 1.1 *Volterra operator is unicellular and*

$$\text{Lat } V = \{M_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}.$$

To connect the semi-group of translations $(S_t^*)_{t > 0}$ with the Volterra operator, we recall the following result from [4].

Lemma 1.1 *If M is a closed subspace in $L^2(0, a)$ and S_t is the semi-group of right translations then,*

$$S_t M \subset M, \quad \forall t > 0 \Leftrightarrow VM \subset M.$$

The description of invariant subspaces for the Volterra operator, its unicellularity and the connection with the semi-group of right translations leads to the next theorem which is a direct consequence of the previous facts.

Theorem 1.2 $f \in L^2(0, a), a \in \text{supp}(f) \Rightarrow E_f = L^2(0, a)$.

Remark 1.1 *In what follows, it is important to see that we embedded $L^2(0, a)$ in $L^2(0, \infty)$ by extending any function of $L^2(0, a)$ in (a, ∞) by 0.*

We can now start the construction of our proof.

Lemma 1.2 Let $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ be a lacunary system of intervals on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

The function $\phi(x) = \text{card}\{(a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k) : x \in (a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)\}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof : It is a slight adaptation from the discret case to the continuous one (see [1]). Since Λ is a lacunary system of intervals, there exists $d > 1$ such that $\inf_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{b_k} \geq d$. Let $M > 0$ be such that $d^{M-1}(d-1) \geq 1$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then there exists a finite set J such that $\forall j \in J, a_j - a_k \leq x \leq b_j - a_k$. Denoting by j_0 the smallest index in J such that $b_{j_0} > x$, we prove that if $j \in J$ then $j_0 \leq j < j_0 + M$. The first inequality is trivial, while for the second one we argue by contradiction. Suppose that $j \geq j_0 + M$. This implies

$$a_j - a_k \geq a_j - b_{j-1} \geq (d-1)b_{j-1} \geq (d-1)d^{M-1}b_{j_0} > x.$$

If $a_j - a_k > x$ then $x \notin (a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)$ which contradicts that $j \in J$. Consequently, $\phi(x) \leq M$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and thus ϕ is bounded. $\square$

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda$. Then f can be written

$$f = \sum_{k \geq 1} g_k, \quad \text{with } \text{supp}(g_k) \subset [a_k, b_k],$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k(x - a_k), \quad \text{where } f_k \in L^2(0, b_k - a_k) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Theorem 1.3 Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ is a lacunary system of intervals. Suppose that

$$i) \quad c = \sup_{k \geq 1} |b_k - a_k| < \infty;$$

$$ii) \quad \left(\frac{f_k}{\|f_k\|} \right)_{k \geq 1} \text{ is relatively compact.}$$

Then f is cyclic in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof : For any $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{S_{a_k}^* f}{\|f_k\|} = \frac{f_k}{\|f_k\|} + \sum_{j > k} \frac{f_j}{\|f_k\|}.$$

Let $r_k = \sum_{j > k} \frac{f_j}{\|f_k\|}$. We use in the first step the same approach developed by R. G. Douglas, H.S. Shapiro, and A.L. Shields (see [1]). We consider neighborhoods of the form

$$V = \{h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : |(h, h_i)| < 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

where h_i , ($i = 1 \dots n$) are fixed functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Suppose that none of the r_k is in V . Then $1 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |(r_k, h_i)| \quad \forall k \geq 1$.

We obtain that

$$|(r_k, h_i)| \leq \frac{1}{\|f_k\|} \int_{a_j \leq x \leq b_j} |\sum_{j>k} f_j(x - a_j + a_k) h_i(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{\|f_k\|} \left(\sum_{j>k} \|f_j\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|f_k\|^2}{\sum_{j>k} \|f_j\|^2} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx$$

and so, by summing on k ,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|f_k\|^2}{\sum_{j>k} \|f_j\|^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx.$$

The left-hand side of the above inequality diverges. It suffices to prove that the right-hand side is bounded to have a contradiction and to conclude. The second step is to show that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Suppose $\phi(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \chi_{(a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)}(x)$, and note that the function ϕ can also be written in the following form,

$$\phi(x) = \text{card}\{(a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k) : x \in (a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)\}.$$

According to Lemma 1.2, ϕ is bounded, so there exists $M > 0$ such that $\phi(x) \leq M$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \int_{a_j - a_k}^{b_j - a_k} |h_i(x)|^2 dx &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{(a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)}(x) |h_i(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j>k} \chi_{(a_j - a_k, b_j - a_k)}(x) |h_i(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(x) |h_i(x)|^2 dx \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |h_i(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then each weak neighborhood of 0 contains at least one of the $(r_k)_{k \geq 1}$. From

$$S_{a_{k_j}}^* f = \frac{f_{k_j}}{\|f_{k_j}\|} + r_{k_j},$$

and since $(f_k / \|f_k\|)_{k \geq 1}$ is relatively compact, there is a subsequence $(k_{j_i})_{i \geq 1}$, such that $(f_{k_{j_i}} / \|f_{k_{j_i}}\|)_{i \geq 1}$ converges weakly to a limit $g \neq 0$ and therefore $g \in E_f$.

Setting $b = \sup \text{supp}(g)$, condition (i) gives that $0 < b < \infty$ and $g \in L^2(0, b)$.

Note that $E_g \subset E_f$, because $S_t^* E_f \subset E_f \quad \forall t > 0$. We apply Theorem 1.2 and,

$$E_g = L^2(0, b) \subset E_f.$$

Reasoning as before for $S_{a_k - T}^*$ where $T > 0$ is arbitrary and k is such that $a_k > T$, we obtain

$$S_{a_k - T}^* f(x) = \frac{f_k(x + T)}{\|f_k\|} + r_k(x).$$

We extract again a subsequence, $(f_{k_{j_i}}/\|f_{k_{j_i}}\|)_{i \geq 1}$ whose limit g is a nonzero function such that $g \in E_f$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subset [T, c + T]$. Since $b = \sup \text{supp}(g) > T$ and by Theorem 1.2 we obtain

$$L^2(0, T) \subset E_f \quad \forall T > 0.$$

Finally, $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset E_f$. □

2 The vector-valued case $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^n)$

In this section, we generalize the previous result to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ where X is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $c > 0$ be a constant and $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a c.r.c. sequence in $L^2((0, c), X)$. Then the sequences $(\frac{Pf_k}{\|Pf_k\|})_{k \in \eta}$ are relatively compact in $L^2((0, c), PX)$ for any orthogonal projection $P : L^2(0, c; X) \rightarrow L^2(0, c; X)$, where $\eta = \{k \geq 1 : Pf_k \neq 0\}$.

The next lemma is the first step for the generalization to vector-valued functions.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ where Λ is a lacunary system of intervals. For any $k \geq 0$, we consider $f_k(x) = f(x + a_k)$, with $\text{supp}(f_k) \subset [0, b_k - a_k]$ and suppose that,*

$$i) \sup_{k \geq 1} |b_k - a_k| \leq c < \infty.$$

$$ii) \left(\frac{f_k}{\|f_k\|} \right)_{k \geq 1} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^2(0, c; X).$$

Then, there exists a nonzero function $g \in L^2(0, c; X)$ such that $S_t g \in E_f$ pour tout $t \geq 0$.

Proof : It is almost the same as the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.3 with some minor technical modifications and we change the products $f_j(x - a_j + a_k)h_i(x)$ by the scalar products $(f_j(x - a_j + a_k), h_i(x))_X$. □

Remark 2.1 *In fact, we can prove more, however it is not necessary for what follows. The function g verifies*

$$S_t g \in E_{S_u^* f} \quad \forall t, u \geq 0.$$

Let $c > 0$, and let M be a subspace of $L^2(0, c, X)$. Recall that any space $L^2(a, b; X)$ can be seen as a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. We take

$$L^2 \otimes M = \text{span}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(S_t M : t \geq 0) = \text{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \left\{ \sum_i \varphi_i * m_i : m_i \in M, \text{supp}(\varphi_i) \text{ compact} \right\},$$

where φ_i are functions in L^2 or L^1 (there is no influence on the result and the sums are finite). With this notation, we have the following.

Corollary 2.1 *Let f as in Lemma 2.1.*

There exists a closed subspace $M = M(f) \subset L^2(0, c; X)$ such that

(a) $M(f) \neq \{0\}$.

(b) $L^2 \otimes M(f) \subset E_f$.

(c) If $h \in L^2(0, c; X)$ and $L^2 \otimes h \subset E_f$, then $h \in M(f)$, (" $M(f)$ is maximal").

Proof : Lemma 2.1 gives the existence of one closed subspace M satisfying (a) and (b). According to the definition of the "product" $L^2 \otimes M$, it is clear that if $L^2 \otimes M_\alpha \subset E_f$ ($\alpha \in A$), then $L^2 \otimes M \subset E_f$ where $M = \text{span}_{L^2(0, c; X)}(M_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$, which proves property (c). $\square$

The following theorem contains other properties of the subspace $L^2 \otimes M(f) \subset E_f$ in Corollary 2.1 which are connected with the possible cyclicity of the function f .

Theorem 2.1 *Let f as in Lemma 2.1 such that the sequence $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is c.r.c. in $L^2(0, c; X)$ and let $F = L^2 \otimes M(f)$ be the subspace in E_f defined in Corollary 2.1. Then*

(i) $S_t F \subset F \subset E_f$ for any $t, t \geq 0$.

(ii) $f = g + h$ where $g \in F$ and $\text{supp}(h)$ is compact.

(iii) Let $E_{M(f)} = \text{span}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(S_t^* M(f) : t \geq 0)$ be the S_t^* -invariant subspace generated by $M(f)$. Then, $E_{M(f)} \subset L^2(0, c; X)$ and

$$E_f = \text{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(L^2 \otimes M(f) + E_{M(f)}).$$

The subspaces $L^2 \otimes M(f)$ and $E_{M(f)}$ are of the form

$$L^2 \otimes M(f) = \mathcal{F}(\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)), \quad E_{M(f)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \ominus \mathcal{F}(\Theta_2 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_2)),$$

where $X_i \subset X$ ($i = 1, 2$), $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform, Θ_i are left inner matricial functions, $\Theta_i(z) : X_i \rightarrow X$ and Θ_2 is a left divider of $e^{icz} I_X$.

Proof : Assertion (i) is obvious by the definition of F . In order to prove (ii), observe that the sum

$$g = \sum_{k \geq 1} S_{a_k} \varphi_k, \quad \varphi_k \in M,$$

with convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is in $L^2 \otimes M$. In particular, it is the case for $\varphi_k = P_M f_k$ where the f_k are the functions of the lacunary decomposition of f , P_M is the orthogonal projection on M in $L^2(0, c; X)$. Then, the function

$$h = f - g = \sum_{k \geq 1} S_{a_k} P_{M^\perp} f_k$$

is in E_f , where $M^\perp = L^2(0, c; X) \ominus M$. It is clear that all limits of the sequence $(P_{M^\perp} f_k / \|P_{M^\perp} f_k\|)_{k \geq 1}$ are in $M^\perp$. Therefore, the support $\text{supp}(h)$ is compact or otherwise using the c.r.c. property of $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$, and by applying again Lemma 2.1 to the function h we obtain a nonzero function $\varphi \in E_f \cap M^\perp$ such that $S_t \varphi \in E_f$ for any $t \geq 0$; which gives a contradiction with the definition of $M = M(f)$.

To prove (iii), we note that $L^2 \otimes M(f) \subset E_f$ and $E_{M(f)} \subset E_f \cap L^2(0, c; X)$, which lead to

$$\text{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(L^2 \otimes M(f) + E_{M(f)}) \subset E_f.$$

In order to establish the converse inclusion, we consider a finite combination $g = \sum_k S_{a_k} \varphi_k$, $\varphi_k \in M$. For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$S_t^* g = \sum_{a_k < t} S_t^* S_{a_k} \varphi_k + \sum_{a_k \geq t} S_t^* S_{a_k} \varphi_k = \sum_{a_k < t} S_{t-a_k}^* \varphi_k + \sum_{a_k \geq t} S_{a_k-t} \varphi_k,$$

where $\sum_{a_k < t} S_{t-a_k}^* \varphi_k$ is in $E_{M(f)}$ and $\sum_{a_k \geq t} S_{a_k-t} \varphi_k$ in $L^2 \otimes M(f)$. This shows that the S_t^* -invariant subspace generated by $L^2 \otimes M(f)$ and $E_{M(f)}$ is in $\text{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(L^2 \otimes M(f) + E_{M(f)})$. But this subspace contains also E_f , so $E_f \subset \text{clos}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}(L^2 \otimes M(f) + E_{M(f)})$. The representations for $L^2 \otimes M(f)$ and $E_{M(f)}$ come from Lax-Halmos Theorem and the fact that the inclusion

$$E_{M(f)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \ominus \mathcal{F}(\Theta_2 H^2(X_2)) \subset L^2(0, c; X) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \ominus \mathcal{F}(e^{icz} H^2(X))$$

is equivalent to the mentioned division in the statement (see, e.g., [3, p. 19]). $\square$

We give the Fourier transforms of the previous objects,

$$F = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X), \mathcal{E}_F = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(E_f) = \text{span}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(P_+ e^{-itz} F : t \geq 0),$$

$$\mathcal{M}(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(M(f)) \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^2(0, c; X)) = K_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) \ominus \theta H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X),$$

where $\theta = e^{icz}$,

$$H_+^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^2 \otimes M(f)) = \text{span}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(e^{itz} \mathcal{M}(f) : t \geq 0) = \Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}(f)} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(E_{M(f)}) = K_{\Theta_2} := H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) \ominus \Theta_2 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_2).$$

Corollary 2.2 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and with the previous notation, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_F = \text{clos}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1) + K_{\Theta_2}),$$

and $\dim X_1 = \text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f))$, where

$$\text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \max(\dim\{\Phi(z) : \Phi \in \mathcal{M}(f)\} : \text{Im}(z) > 0).$$

Proof : The first formula is the Fourier transform of the one in part (iii) of Theorem 2.1. The second comes from

$$\dim X_1 = \max_z (\dim\{\Phi(z) : \Phi \in \Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)\}),$$

and from the definition of Θ_1 , $\text{span}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(e^{itz} \mathcal{M}(f) : t \geq 0) = \Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 2.1, and suppose that $\dim X < \infty$. If $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \dim X$, where $\mathcal{M}(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(M(f))$, then $E_f = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ (f is cyclic).*

Proof : If $\dim X_1 = \dim X$ then $X_1 = X$, and

$$(\det \Theta_1) H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) \subset \Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) \subset \mathcal{E}_F.$$

We have that $P_+ e^{-itz} \mathcal{E}_F \subset \mathcal{E}_F$, $t \geq 0$, $\theta = \det(\Theta_1)$ is a scalar inner function and the $P_+ e^{-itz}$ -invariant subspace generated by $\theta H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)$ coincides with $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)$. Indeed, if ϕ_n are the Fejer polynomials of θ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_+ \bar{\phi}_n \theta f - f\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(S^*) \theta f - f\|_2 = 0,$$

for every $f \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)$, and therefore, $\mathcal{E}_F = H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1, and suppose that $\dim X < \infty$. Then, f is cyclic for the semi-group $(S_t^*)_{t \geq 0}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ if and only if*

$$\text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \dim X,$$

where $\mathcal{M}(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(M(f))$.

Proof : The sufficiency part was proved in Lemma 2.2. Conversely, if f is a cyclic function then

$$\mathcal{E}_F = H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) = \text{clos}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1) + K_{\Theta_2}),$$

by Corollary 2.2. Taking $\theta = e^{icz}$ and since $K_{\Theta_2} \subset K_\theta$, we obtain

$$H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X) = P_+ \bar{\theta}(H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)) = \text{clos}_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)}(P_+ \bar{\theta} \Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)).$$

This implies that the subspace $\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)$ is $(P_+ e^{-itz})_{t \geq 0}$ -cyclic in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X)$. Suppose now that $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f)) < \dim X$. Then, using again Corollary 2.2, $\dim X_1 < \dim X$. According to the Lemma of Complementation (see [3]), there exists a (bilateral) inner function, $\Theta(z) : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\Theta|_{X_1} = \Theta_1$. Taking into account that the multiplication by a left inner function is an isometric application, we have

$$\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1) \perp \Theta' H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X'),$$

where $X' = X \ominus X_1 \neq \{0\}$ and $\Theta' = \Theta|_{X'}$.

Therefore, $\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1) \perp e^{itz} \Theta' H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X')$ for any $t \geq 0$, which leads to a contradiction with the cyclicity of $\Theta_1 H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, X_1)$. Finally $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \dim X$. $\square$

Remark 2.2 *The S_t^* -invariant subspace $E_{M(f)}$ which is included in $L^2(0, c; X)$ has the Fourier transform such that*

$$E_{M(f)} = L^2(0, c; X) \ominus \mathcal{F}(\Theta H^2(X)),$$

where Θ is an inner function with the spectrum $\{0\}$. This leads after the change of variable $z = (x - i)/(x + i)$ and according to the Theorem of factorization (see [2]) to have,

$$\Theta(z) = \int_a^{\hat{b}} \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right) d\mu(t),$$

where μ is a positive matricial measure.

We end with a simple and instructive example.

Example: Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2$, $a_k = 2^k$, $b_k = 2^k + 2$, $f = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ where $\varphi_1 = \sum_k g_k \chi_{\Delta_k}$, with g_k nonzero continuous functions on $\Delta_k = [2^k + 1, 2^k + 2]$ such that $\sum_k \|g_k \chi_{\Delta_k}\| < \infty$, and $\varphi_2 = S_1^* \varphi_1$. With convenient functions g_k , we can have the space $M(f)$ of infinite dimension, but with $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{F}M(f)) = 1$. The function f , of course, is not cyclic. $\blacksquare$

References

- [1] R. G. DOUGLAS, H. S. SHAPIRO, A. L. SHIELDS, *Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 20 (1970), fasc. 1, 37–76.

- [2] Yu. P. GINZBURG, L. V. SHEVCHUK, *On the Potapov theory of multiplicative representations. Matrix and operator valued functions*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkh'aufer, Basel, 1994, 72, 28–47.
- [3] N. K. NIKOLSKII, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [4] N. K. NIKOLSKII, *Invariant subspaces in operator theory and function theory*, Mathematical analysis, Vol. 12 (Russian), pp. 199–412, 468. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuz. Inst. Naučn. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, 1974.; Engl. transl.: J. Soviet. Math., 5 (1976), 129–249.
- [5] H. RADJAVI, P. ROSENTHAL *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer Verlag, 1973.